

Contact: A Journal for Contemporary Music (1971-1988)

http://contactjournal.gold.ac.uk

## Citation

<u>Potter, Keith.</u> 1973-1974. 'Review of Stockhausen, Life and Work by Karl H. Worner'. **Contact**, 7. pp. 34-36. ISSN 0308-5066.



No years had at the last date when with your facts he had the

and website which substants is much of a line of a

weits president styles for he case that all earliest form, country blivest, city blows (from the Herris to the Herris to the Herris

STOCKHAUSEN, LIFE AND WORK by Karl H. Worner. Translated, edited and introduced by Bill Hopkins. Faber and Faber, the second stand and an 1973. The second second stands and the hdbk £6.00, ppbk £1.50.

This is the first book on Stockhaus au to be published in English, but those who seek a detailed critical ascessment of this composer's works will be disappointed.

Karl Worner's book was first published in German in 1963. Five years later the author added extensive new raterial with the present English dition in view, but unfortunately d ed before he had decided on statistic and the second support which have been a stated at the

the final form of the new book. Bill Hopkins has translated Worner's revision, re-ordered its contents and updated it in a few places - though there is no more than passing reference to works written after <u>Kurzwellen</u> (1968) with the exception of Op. 1970 (Kurzwellen mit Beethoven).

three volumes of the compaser's collected writings (published in

Worner did not attempt a "detached", critical assessment of Stockhausen. Instead he chose to compile what amounts to a reference book, consisting of a list of works (with discography), Stockhausen's notes on the works, biographical and other journalistic material and a series of chapters on some of the composer's particular preoccupations: "New forms in music", "Electronic music", "Spatial location in music" and "New music and society".

The difference is that, where reference books are normally expected to be objective and factual, this one is highly **subjective**, if still superficially factual. The reason is that much of it consists of undigested Stockhausen - some of which, incidentally, has already appeared in print, e.g. the composer's notes on the works are largely taken from record sleeves.

In fact, I find it hard to believe that Worner has really understood what Stockhausen is saying, still less the music itself. The whole book exhibits a total dependence on what comes straight from the "horse's mouth". Not that critics and analysts don't all too frequently find themselves in this position, but Worner's ability to evaluate his received opinion by reference to the actual music is placed in doubt. As with too many of Stockhausen's disciplies, the author's consistnely adulatory tone srounds his subject in a hushed atmosphere of reverential awe which effectively prevents all penetration to the music.

This is not to say that the book has no value. Many of its details are fascinating: Stockhausen analysing Mozart, the concepts involved in the composer's serial music ("Serial music demands serial thought"), and Stockhausen's admirably <u>unreverential</u> attitude towards the music of the past, even Webern and Messiaen. (On becoming fascinated by the latter's Fourth Etude at Darmstadt in 1951, Stockhausen resolved to study with the composer in Paris. "There", he says, "I could find out how much Messiaen had learnt from others and how much was his own contribution.") Even the references to all pre-Stockhausian attempts at spatial music (described as "antecedents") are endearing. Worner gives the impression that all the composers from Willaert to Ives were just waiting for Stockhausen the man of genius to put their fumblings to shame. (Perhaps he is right....) But I am waiting for four books which will, I hope, provide what we really need on Stockhausen at the moment. Incredibly, the three volumes of the composer's collected writings (published in German as <u>Aufsatze</u>) have still not become available in English. Richard Toop has translated the first two of these, but at the moment doesn't appear to have found a publisher. Jonathan Harvey has written a book on the earlier music, to be published by Faber (date unknown). Two other surveys are at present in progress: by Richard Toop (UE) and Robin Maconie (OUP). These will surely provide the detailed critical examination of Stockhausen's music that is now so urgently needed.

The difference is that, where reference books are normally supected to be objective and factual, this one is highly subjective, if still superficially factual. The reason is that much of it consists of undigested Stockhausen - some of which, incidentally, has already appeared in partoq HTIEM he composer's notes on the works are largely taken from record sleeves.

In fact, I find it hard to believe that Worner has really understood what Stockhausen is saying, still less the music itself. The whole book exhibits a total dependence on what comes straight from the "horse's mouth". Not that critics and analysts don't all too frequently find themselves in this position, but Worner's ability to evaluate his received opinion by reference to the actual music is placed in doubt. As with too many of Stockhausen's disciplies, the author's consistency adulatory tone srounds his subject in a hushed strosphere of reverential awe which effectively prevents all penetration to the music.

This is not to say that the book has no value. Many of its details are fascinating: Stockhausen analysing Mozart, the concepts involved in the composer's serial music ("Serial music demands serial thought"), and Stockhausen's admirably unreverential attitude towards the music of the past, even Webern and Massiaen. (On becoming fascinated by the lattet's Fourth Etude at Darmstadt in 1951, Stockhausen resolved to study with the composer in Paris. "Thete", he says, "I could find out how much Messiaen had learnt from others and how much was his own contribution.") Even the references to all pre-Stockhausian attempts at spatial music (described as "antecedents") are andearing. Moreer sivel the impression that all the composers from Willaert to Ives were just (Perhaps he is right....)