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I would like to welcome you to the first special volume of the Leonardo Electronic Almanac. DAC09: After Media: Embodiment and Context, is a volume that generated from the conference by the same name that Prof. Penny chaired at the end of 2009.

DAC09: After Media: Embodiment and Context is the first of a series of special volumes of the Leonardo Electronic Almanac that are realized in collaboration with international academic, editors and authors.

Prof. Penny was inspired for this LEA special issue by the continuous developments in the interdisciplinary arena and in the fields of new media and digital art culture. He wanted to collate research papers that would provide the seeds for innovative thinking and new research directions. The authors featured in this volume, to whom we are most grateful for their hard work, will provide the reader with the opportunity to understand and imagine future developments in the fields of digital art culture and interdisciplinarity.

As I look at the electronic file of what we now internally refer to simply as DAC09, the first issue of the revamped LEA, Mish Mash, printed and delivered by Amazon, sits on the desk next to my keyboard. The possibilities and opportunities of e-publishing, which also has physically printed outcomes, provide me with further thoughts on the importance and necessity of the work that is done by ‘small publishers’ in the academic field. The promising news of a new open access journal to be launched by The Wellcome Trust or the ‘revolution’ of researchers against Elsevier through the website http://thecostofknowledge.com/ with 9510 Researchers Taking a Stand (Thursday, April 12, 2012 at 10:57 AM) highlights the problems and issues that the industry faces and the struggles of young researchers and academics.

The contemporary academic publishing industry has come a long way from the first attempts at e-publishing and the revolution, if it can be defined as such, has benefited some and harmed others.

As the struggle continues between open access and copyrighted ownership, the ‘revolution’ of a lucrative academic publishing industry, of economies of scales, of academics exploited by a system put in place by publishing giants (into which some universities around the globe have bought into in order to have an internationally recognized ranking system) and the publishers’ system of exploitation structured to increase the share of free academic content to then be re-sold, raises some essential questions on academic activity and its outputs.

The answers to these problems can perhaps be found in the creativity of the individuals who participate in what is, at times, an arduous process of revision, changes, reviews, replies and rebuttals. This is a process that is managed by academics who donate their time to generate alternatives to a system based on the exploitation of content producers. For these reasons I wish to thank Prof. Simon Penny and all the contributors who have authored to DAC09: After Media: Embodiment and Context.

Simon Penny in his introduction to this first LEA special volume clearly states a) the importance of the DAC09 and b) the gravitas and professional profile of the contributors. These are two points that I can support wholeheartedly, knowing intimately the amount of work that this volume has required in order to maintain the high standards set by Mish Mash and the good reception it received.

For this reason in announcing and presenting this first special volume I am proud to offer readers the possibility of engaging with the work of professionals who are contributing to redefining the roles, structures and semantics of new media, digital art practices and interdisciplinarity, as well as attempting to clarify what digital creativity is today and what it may become in the future.

The field of new media (which are no longer so new and so young – I guess they could be better described as middle aged, slightly plump and balding) and digital practices (historical and contemporary) require new definitions and new engagements that move away from and explore beyond traditional structures and proven interdisciplinary partnerships.

DAC09: After Media: Embodiment and Context is a volume that, by collating papers presented at the DAC09 conference, chaired by Prof. Simon Penny, is also providing recent innovative perspectives and planting seeds of new thinking that will redefine conceptualizations and practices, both academic and artistic.

It also offers to the reader the possibility of engaging with solid interdisciplinary practices, in a moment in which I believe interdisciplinarity and creative practices are moving away from old structures and definitions, particularly in the fraught relationship between artists and scientific disciplines. If ‘cognitive sciences’ is a representation of interdisciplinarity between artificial intelligence, neurobiology and psychology, it is also an example of interdisciplinary interactions of relatively closely related fields. The real problem in interdisciplinary and crossdisciplinary studies is that these fields are hampered by the methodological problems that still today contrapose in an hierarchical structure scientific methodologies versus art and humanities based approaches to knowledge.

This volume is the first of the special issues published by LEA and its appearance coincides with the newly revamped website. It will benefit from a stronger level of advocacy and publicity since LEA has continued to further strengthen its use of social platforms, in fulfillment of its mission of advocacy of projects at the
intersection of art, science and technology. DAC09 will be widely distributed across social networks as open access knowledge in PDF format, as well as being available on Amazon.

I extend a great thank you to all of the contributors of DAC09: After Media: Embodiment and Context and wish them all the very best in their future artistic and academic endeavors.

Lanfranco Aceti
Editor in Chief, Leonardo Electronic Almanac
Director, Kasa Gallery
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REFERENCES AND NOTES

Two decades of Digital Art and Culture

An introduction to the LEA DAC09 special edition

by

Simon Penny

Director of DAC09
Professor of Arts and Engineering
University of California Irvine

This volume of LEA is composed of contributions drawn from participants in the 2009 Digital Art and Culture conference held at the University of California, Irvine in December 2009. DAC09 was the eighth in the Digital Art and Culture conference series, the first being in 1998. The DAC conference series is internationally recognized for its progressive interdisciplinarity, its intellectual rigor and its responsiveness to emerging practices and trends. As director of DAC09 it was these qualities that I aimed to foster at the conference.

The title of the event: After Media: Embodiment and Context, was conceived to draw attention to aspects of digital arts discourse which I believe are of central concern to contemporary Digital Cultural Practices. ‘After Media’ queries the value of the term ‘Media Arts’ – a designation which in my opinion not only erroneously presents the practice as one concerned predominantly with manipulating ‘media’, but also leaves the question of what constitutes a medium in this context uninterrogated. ‘Embodiment’ reconnects the realm of the digital with the larger social and physical world. ‘Context’ emphasises the realities of cultural, historical, geographical and gender-related specificities. ‘Context’ brings together site-specificity of cultural practices, the understandings of situated cognition and practices in locative media. The re-emergence of concerns with such locative and material specificity within the Digital Cultures community is foregrounded in such DAC09 Themes as Software and Platform Studies and Embodiment and Performativity.

The DAC09 conference included around 100 papers by an international array of contributors. In a desire to be maximally responsive to current trends, the conference was to some extent an exercise in self-organisation by the DAC09 community. The call for papers and the structure of the event was organized around nine conference themes which were themselves the result of a call to the community for conference themes. The selected themes were managed largely by those who proposed them. Much credit for the success of the event therefore goes to the hard-working ‘Theme Leaders’: Nell Tenhaaf, Melanie Baljko, Kim Sawchuk, Marc Bohlen, Jeremy Douglass, Noah Wardrip-Fruin, Andrea Polli, Cynthia Beth Rubin, Nina Czegledy, Fox Harrell, Susanna Paasonen, Jordan Crandall, Ulrik Ekman, Mark Hansen, Terry Harpold, Lisbeth Klastrup, and Susana Tosca, and also to the Event Organisers: David Familian, Michael Dessen, Chris Dobrian, Mark Marino and Jessica Pressman. I am particularly grateful to Ward Smith, Information Systems Manager for DAC09, who for two years, as my sole colleague on the project, managed electronic communications, web design and the review and paper submission processes, and, as he would put it, a ‘parade of indignities’. In the several months of final planning and preparation for the event, the acumen and commitment of Elizabeth Losh and Sean Voisen was invaluable.

I first published on what we now refer to as digital arts in 1987. Not long after, I was lucky enough to have the opportunity to attend the first iSEA conference in 1988. Since that date I have been actively involved in supporting the development of critical discourses in the field, as a writer, an editor and an organizer of events. My role as director of the DAC09 conference gave me a perspective from which to reflect on the state of digital arts discourse and its development over two decades. As I discussed in a recent paper, the first decade on media art theory was a cacophonous interdisciplinary period in which commentators from diverse fields and disciplines brought their expertise to bear on their perceived subject. This created a scenario not unlike that of various viewers looking into a house via various windows, none of them perceiving the layout of the house, nor the contents of the other rooms. In the ensuing decade, a very necessary reconciliation of various disciplinary perspectives has occurred as the field has become truly a ‘field’.

While post structuralist stalwarts such as Deleuze and Derrida continue to be referenced in much of the more critical-theory oriented work in Digital Cultures, and the condition of the posthuman and posthumanist are constantly referenced, theoretical reference points for the field are usefully broadening. The emerging field of Science and Technology Studies has brought valuable new perspectives to media arts discourses, counterbalancing the excesses of techno-utopianism and the sometimes abstruse intellectualism of post-structuralist theoretical discourses. In this volume, Mark Tuters provides an exemplar of this approach in his Forget Psychogeography: Locative Media as Cosmopolitics, bringing Rancière and Latour to bear on a discussion of HCI, Tactical Media and Locative Media practices. Tuters provides a nuanced argument replete with examples which questions the sometimes superficial and dogmatic re-citation of the originary role of the Situationists with respect to such practices. At DAC09, Conor McGarrigle also took a thoughtful revisionist position with respect to the Situationists.

In this context, the new areas of Software Studies and Platform Studies have emerged and have been nurtured in previous DAC conferences. In this spirit, Chandler McWilliams attempt to “thread the needle between a reading of code-as-text that obfuscates the procedural nature of code, and an overly technical description of programming that restates the machine as the essential arbiter of authentic acts of programming” is emblematic of the emergence of Software Studies discourses which are quintessentially interdisciplinary and erudite on both sides of the science wars divide. Similarly, Mark Marino’s meditations on heteronormativity of code and the Anna Kournikova worm call for what he calls Critical Code Studies, here informed by queer theory. In their proposal for an ‘AI Hermeneutic Network’ Zhu and Harrell address the question of intentionality, a familiar theme in AI critical discourse (i.e., John Searle ‘Minds,
The another trend indicative of the maturation of this field is its (re)-connection with philosophical discourse. In this context, the deep analysis of Electronic Literature in terms of Wittgensteinian Language Games by Mauro Carassa is something of a tour de force. While a tendency to extropianism is here not explicitly discouraged, this discussion places such technological practices squarely as indicators of transition to post-human subjectivity, and in the process, open the discussion to phenomenological, enactive and situated critiques as well a drawing in the relevance of pre-cognitivist cybernetic theorisation.

One of the aspects of contemporary media arts discourse which I hoped to foreground at DAC09 was questions of embodiment and engagement with contemporary post-cognitivist cognitive science. Several papers in the current collection reflect such concerns, and indeed they were foregrounded in several conference themes. One example of the value of the application of such theory is evidenced in Kenny Chow and Fox Harrell’s leveraging of contemporary neuro-science and cognitive linguistics in their deployment of the concept of “material-based imagination” in their discussion of Interactive Digital artworks. In a quite different approach to embodiment and computation, Carrie Noland discusses choreography and particularly the choreography of Cunningham, with reference to Mauss and Leroi-Gourhan, and with respect to digital choreographic tools.

The DAC community did not choose to make Game Culture a focal theme in DAC09 – perhaps because the field has grown so quickly and has built up a structure of conferences and journals. Nonetheless, gaming culture was referenced throughout the event, and was the subject of numerous presentations, such as Josh and Karen Tannenbaum’s reconsideration of ‘agency as commitment to meaning’, which addressed the acknowledged problematic of the tension between authorial and user agency in terms of a critique of the humanist subject. Like wise, phraseology such as Boluk/Lemieux’s: “player performance in and around games has matured to the point of beginning to express underlying serial logics through heavily mannered gameplay mechanics” (in their contribution to this volume) signals the establishment of a mature en erudite critical theory of games and gaming. On a more technical note, Sullivan/Wardrip-Fruin/Mateas make an argument for enriching computer game play by application of artificial intelligence techniques to the authoring of ‘quests’.

As Digital Arts became established as a practice the question of pedagogy inevitably arose – what to teach and how to teach it. Though rhetorics of convergence pretend to the contrary, one cannot dispute the profound epistemological and ontological dilemmas involved in attempting to bring together intellectual environments of such disparate communities as engineers, artists and critical theorists, in the classroom and the lab. Interdisciplinarity was therefore the ground upon which these programs were developed, and each context inflected that idea with its own colour.

My own reflections on the subject are published at Convergence. It therefore seemed timely to address pedagogy at DAC09. In the process of elaboration of digital cultural practices, such emerging practices have themselves come into consideration as pedagogical tools and systems. In this volume, Elizabeth Losh surveys and discusses various pedagogical initiatives (mostly in Southern California) deploying digital tools and environments. In a contribution which crosses the pedagogy thematic and concerns with cognition, Harrell and Veeragoudar Harrell offer a report on a science, technology engineering, and mathematics (STEM) educational initiative among at-risk students which considers the relationships between users and their virtual identities.

In his essay, Garnet Hertz discusses the work of three artists – Reed Ghazala, Natalie Jeremijenko, and Tom Jennings. None of them ‘media artists’ in the conventional sense, they, in different ways and for different purposes, re-purpose digital technologies. Rounding out this volume is presentation of two online artworks by Sharon Daniels which were presented at DAC09. Public Secrets and Blood Sugar are elegant web-based art-works, both poetic and examples of a committed activist practice.

In my opinion, this collection offers readers a survey of fields addressed at DAC09, and an indication key areas of active growth in the field. Most of them display the kind of rigorous interdisciplinary I regard as characteristic of the best work in the field. While the science-wars rage on in certain quarters, in media arts discourse there appears to be an attitude of intelligent resolution – a result in no small measure of the fact that a great many such commentators and theorists have taken the trouble to be trained, study and practice on both sides of the great divide of the ‘two cultures’, and to take the next necessary step of attempting to reconciling or negotiate ontologies traditionally at odds. This professional profile was very evident at DAC09 and is represented by many of the contributors in this volume. Such interdisciplinary pursuits are in my opinion, extremely intellectually demanding. The obvious danger in such work is of superficial understandings, or worse, a simple re-citation of a new canon of interdisciplinary media studies. Dangers that, happily, none of the papers grouped here, and few of the papers presented at DAC09, fell victim of.

The electronic proceedings of DAC09 are available at this link: http://escholarship.org/uc/ace_dac9.
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The ability to construct imaginative, computational self-representations such as characters in games and avatars in virtual worlds and social media can impact people’s self-perception in the real world and provide proxies for people to engage in communities as players, learners, and doers. It is clear, however, that some users view characters and avatars instrumentally to accomplish virtual tasks, whereas others see them as virtual selves for playful identity construction and performance. Open questions about design of character/avatar construction tools include better understanding the trade-offs between accommodating representation of aspects of users real selves vs. enabling extraordinary fantastic characters – and how enabling either plays a role in the users’ situated contexts, including the creation of coherent life stories, narratives of personal experience, and narratives of personal identity. This paper provides theory and pilot evidence as steps toward answering these questions. Our evidence was elicited using grounded theory techniques on data collected in a three-year design-based research study into fostering at-risk students’ STEM learning using virtual world technologies.

We propose a three-axis model of user stances in relationship to their avatars. Using insights from the cognitive science theory of conceptual blending in order to characterize users’ perspectives of their avatars as imaginative integrations of their real and virtual selves, we present a set of case studies illustrating users’ stances in terms of our three axes. The upshot is that students in the study tended to fall into one of three categories: (1) viewing their avatars as necessarily reflections of their real world identities, (2) viewing their avatars as mere proxies for building artifacts in the world, and (3) viewing avatars as characters external to themselves for engaging in a play of identity performance and presentation. Group (1) found the affordances of the virtual world tools they used to be inadequate, hence serving the needs of this group may require alternative design solutions in light of real world values, activities, and behaviors.

Imagination, Computation, and Self-Expression
Situated Character and Avatar Mediated Identity

ABSTRACT

The ability to construct imaginative, computational self-representations such as characters in games and avatars in virtual worlds and social media can impact people’s self-perception in the real world and provide proxies for people to engage in communities as players, learners, and doers. It is clear, however, that some users view characters and avatars instrumentally to accomplish virtual tasks, whereas others see them as virtual selves for playful identity construction and performance. Open questions about design of character/avatar construction tools include better understanding the trade-offs between accommodating representation of aspects of users real selves vs. enabling extraordinary fantastic characters – and how enabling either plays a role in the users’ situated contexts, including the creation of coherent life stories, narratives of personal experience, and narratives of personal identity. This paper provides theory and pilot evidence as steps toward answering these questions. Our evidence was elicited using grounded theory techniques on data collected in a three-year design-based research study into fostering at-risk students’ science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) learning using virtual world technologies.

We propose a three-axis model of user stances in relationship to their avatars. Using insights from the cognitive science theory of conceptual blending in order to characterize users’ perspectives of their avatars as imaginative integrations of their real and virtual selves, we present a set of case studies illustrating users’ stances in terms of our three axes. The upshot is that students in the study tended to fall into one of three categories: (1) viewing their avatars as necessarily reflections of their real world identities, (2) viewing their avatars as mere proxies for building artifacts in the world, and (3) viewing avatars as characters external to themselves for engaging in a play of identity performance and presentation. Group (1) found the affordances of the virtual world tools they used to be inadequate, hence serving the needs of this group may require alternative design solutions in light of real world values, activities, and behaviors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of enabling robust user representations in digital media seems clear. A mobile phone account features an associated profile describing billing related information, but also allowing for the upload of a photo or other self-representation. A social networking account allows for indications of preferences, friendships, and histories such as educational or employment backgrounds. Games allow users to manipulate characters in fictional worlds, and often allow a great degree of customization at both visual and game mechanical levels. There must be social, psychological, economic, cultural, and aesthetic factors at play that are worthy of scrutiny given the wide, cross-platform distribution of user representations.

This might suggest that enabling empowering, self-expressive representations would be a social boon. Yet, there exists a countercurrent to this observation. Namely, that these digital representations are peripheral to our real world identity experiences. Those holding this view go further. In response to an interview where the first author argued for developing games that are more sensitive to the diversity of players’ social and cultural identities and more broadly that:

Much more is at stake than just fun and games. Prejudice, bias, stereotyping, and stigma are built not only into many games, but other forms of identity representations in social networks, virtual worlds, and more. These have real world effects on how we see ourselves and each other. … even in social networking software, we create profiles that ostensibly represent our real selves, but they are limited by many of the same constraints as characters in games.

Responses included grateful expressions of understanding, and follow-up articulations of the role of privilege in allowing some to feel comfortable with the status quo, but there was also a large measure of
vitriol in the user comments. Many users simultaneously adopted a highly racially-oriented interpretation of Harrell’s argument (despite Harrell’s orientation toward a wide range of phenomena including gender, body type, style, fashion, body language, along with race and more) at the same time as arguing that race should not matter in games. This sentiment accompanied an apologia for the current state of popular gaming. All of these viewpoints can be exemplified by the following excerpts from the user comments:

» Making a race issue out of fantasy games is a tenuous argument. If you can’t [empathize] with an Elf because he’s a white Elf, then maybe you’re the racist. Why assume that black hominids in a game have any connection to black humans in reality?

» About less intelligence in Oblivion. That is because the Redguards are a strong muscular/physical race. In the oblivion world this is about the opposite of magic. And the intelligence stat is a measure on how good you are at magic. So it’s not a form of racism, it’s how the game works...

It’s a losing battle for game designers to try to anticipate a hundred different body types. Be thankful that you get to –choose- what your character looks like to begin with...

» This guy seems like That Guy. For the non-roleplayers in the audience, “That Guy” is a tabletop roleplaying term. He’s the guy who mostly just exists to derail the party, who seems to care too much about what his character is wearing, and comprise real personal experiences. The ability to see oneself in different roles that may or may not be close to one’s individual experiences is noted in the learning sciences as crucial to becoming a learner and doer of those fields that are keystones in enabling social and economic advancement. Hence, as an exemplar of exactly what is at stake, this article uses the context of the classroom as its centerpiece for exploring the nature of computational user self-representation, offering a preliminary characterization of the nature of those representations based upon empirical results and critical observations. The upshot is that the implications from observing classroom experiences regarding the use of avatars in a virtual world for learning reveal aspects of the aesthetics and politics of computational identity in a way useful for artists, game/technology designers, and socio-cultural theorists/scientists.

Finally, regarding the third question, the theoretical framework of this paper lays out a conceptual underpinning for better understanding the technical basis for such representations across platforms as a stepping stone toward suggesting how to develop new computational identity platforms that avoid reifying disempowering identity phenomena in their infrastructures (as do many current technologies).

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

In this paper, we use the AIR Project’s cognitively grounded theoretical model of computational identity construction as the basis for an empirical study examining the students’ enactment of their identities.

Cognitive categorization is a basic mental operation necessary for understanding the world, and is based in human embodied and cultural experience and imagination. The model we use considers how identity phenomena such as stereotypes, paragons, group representatives, and other arise from what are called “prototype effects.” Such effects are evaluated in light of how the computational identity systems are built and the affordances they enable. A more detailed account of the elements of this theoretical framework follows.

2.1 Shared Technical Underpinnings of Computational Identity

The approach to computational identity articulated here is relevant across multiple forms of digital media. Various computational identity applications such as social networking sites, avatar creation systems for virtual worlds, and games are implemented using a limited and often overlapping set of techniques.

Figure 1 (below) describes, at a high level, the components that comprise the majority of widely used computational identity technologies.

Fundamental to implementing computational identity applications, the six components in Figure 1 that commonly form the basis for avatar/character/profile construction can enable dynamic and contingent models of social identity in digital environments as described in.

Understanding the applications and limitations of the technical means by which users stage their identities across digital media forms can enable customizability and cross-community communication facility in social identity systems.

2.2 The AIR Model of Cognitively Grounded Computational Identity

Our approach begins with the basic cognitive building blocks of identity (discussed in a subsection below) upon which social identity categories are built. Cognitive scientists have proposed that human conceptual categories form “idealized cognitive models” (ICMs) upon which categories of objects in the world are built. These models can explain how users project their identities into their avatars.
The AIR model is useful for identifying where schisms often reified in infrastructure and are thus amenable to computational modeling. It builds upon Gilles Fauconnier’s mental spaces theory and elaborates insights from metaphor theory. Gilles Fauconnier and Mark Turner’s conceptual blending theory describes the means by which concepts are integrated, guided by “uniform structural and dynamic principles” both unconsciously in everyday thought and in more complex abstract thought such as in literary arts or rhetoric. Conceptual integration networks are composed of conceptual spaces and conceptual mappings used in blending the component spaces for situations that are more complex than a single metaphor. The basic elements of a conceptual integration network are:

1. Input Spaces (the conceptual spaces to be combined)
2. Cross-space mappings (links between analogous elements in different input spaces)
3. The Generic Space (a conceptual space mapped to both of the input spaces that describes shared structure between the input spaces)
4. The Blended Space (the space in which elements from the input spaces are integrated)

Fauconnier and Turner assert that the process of blending is structured by sets of “constitutive” and “governing” principles that exert pressure to produce optimal blends. The constitutive principles describe the structure of conceptual integration networks and the process of blending, while the governing principles optimize emergent structure in the blends all “other things being equal.”

2.4 Cognitive Categorization

The approach to identity here is influenced by the prototype theory of the psychologist Eleanor Rosch, and especially work in categorization by the cognitive scientist George Lakoff. George Lakoff’s work in this area over two decades ago is well known and influential, yet it is a thread that has been underdeveloped with respect to issues of social identity construction (an exciting exception being the work of the linguist Otto Santa Ana on conceptual metaphor-based bias). Furthermore, this theory has not been significantly applied to cases of identity representation in digital media.

Important for the purposes here, Lakoff describes a metaphor- and metonymy-based account of how imaginative extensions of “prototype effects” result in several phenomena of social identity categorization that have proven useful for the AIR Project:

- Representatives (prototypes): “best example” members of categories,
- Stereotypes: normal, but often misleading, category expectations (e.g., gender stereotypical categories define normative expectations for language use)
- Ideals: culturally valued categories even if not typically encountered (e.g., note the difference between an ideal and stereotype – Ideal husband: good provider, faithful, strong, respected, attractive; Stereotypical husband: bumbling, dull, beer-bellied),
- Paragons: defining categories in terms of individual members who represent either an ideal or its opposite (e.g., “he is no Turing when it comes to computing,” “it’s the Taj Mahal of apartments!”), and
- Salient Examples: memorable examples used to understand/create categories (e.g., after experiencing an earthquake in California someone may never wish to travel there, even from a place with a higher incidence of natural disasters).

Our work here closely examines idealized cognitive models (such as Lakoff’s) as computational data-structures, hence identity prototype effects are seen as expressed by algorithmic means in platforms such as the popular Teen Second Life (TSL). In looking at transcript data from an educational research project discussed below as a centerpiece for the article, such phenomena that define normative expectations and stigma (stereotypes, ideals, salient examples, etc.) within TSL become apparent and provide a basis for suggested identity models that enable users to move beyond disempowering expectations.

3. CONTEXT & METHODS

Women and ethnic minority groups are underrepresented in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) professions in the United States of America. Current efforts to address this problem have focused on the school or the district levels (e.g., the government’s No Child Left Behind Act). Solving this problem requires all stakeholders’ involvement (educators, researchers, policy makers, parents, administrators, and others) advocating a variety of approaches. One effective approach developed by the first author in a project called Fractal Village has proposed to empower students as agents in their own learning. She conducted a three-year design-based research study into fostering at-risk students STEM learning. The study was conducted within an alternative high school for students evicted from the mainstream. A class of thirteen students worked over eighteen 110-minute class sessions in the virtual world (Teen Second Life or TSL). They began by choosing and editing avatar representations. Using these representations they collaboratively built/programmed a virtual community.

Learners should be able to see themselves as learners and doers of mathematics and creators of computational artifacts while enacting their own performed genders, ethnicities, histories, etc. should they desire to do so. Simultaneously, they may benefit from constructing imaginative self-representations largely divorced from their real-life socially stigmatized categories. The second author has developed theory and technology, with bases in cognitive science and digital media arts, for doing so under the rubric of the Advanced Identity Representation (AIR) Project.

The predominantly African American class demonstrated a wide range of reactions to the avatars and editing capabilities. Empirically assessing results in Fractal Village using elaborated insights from the AIR Project, we seek to learn which strategies for self-representations are most efficacious for facilitating STEM learning, whether the affordances provided by TSL support the construction of these self-repren-
3.1 Participants
The 13 student participants in this study were all members of an urban California alternative high school classroom. The students were 15–19 years old, the ethnic make-up was predominantly African-American, and there was a near-equal gender distribution. All students at this school qualified for federal free lunch programs, and over half of the students in the study classroom had Individual Education Plans (IEP), because they are categorized as Special Education students.

3.2 Procedure
The intervention began with students creating logins and avatars. In choosing login-names, each participant was asked to compose a first name, yet 15% required them select a last name from a pre-compiled list. In choosing a visual representation for themselves, users were limited to a dozen basic images (see Figure 3), which they could subsequently modify through dedicated interface features for altering skin color, ethnic make-up was predominantly African-American, adding tattoos, changing their facial features and hair, “putting on” makeup and accessories, etc.

3.3 Data Collected
Our raw data consists of: digital movies of students’ collaborative work; screen-capture movies that archive every keystroke and mouse click made by each student over the entire intervention; personal journal logs each student kept throughout the study; movies of day-by-day individual semi-clinical interviews with a subset of focal students selected on the basis of real-time events that occurred in the classroom; rich field notes we amassed and co-edited on our laboratory wiki; videotaped design-team debrief/plan sessions; and participant-generated mixed-media artifacts, i.e., worksheets, modeling constructions, and computer screenshots (see Figures 4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d, below).

3.4 Data Analysis
Analysis was comprised of a series of steps using situated discourse analysis, techniques from grounded theory, microgenetic analysis, and drew on conceptual blending theory. This process is elaborated below as a series of steps.

Step 1. Selection of data: We selected data segments relevant to virtual identity construction. For example, one data segment was a video clip of a group discussion at the end of the first day of the project that included rich exchange between the principal, teachers, researchers, and students regarding students’ initial experiences of constructing virtual identities as externalized through avatars. An example of segment not included is a video of a student debugging code they wrote to generate a jukebox since this would not be considered pertinent to virtual identity construction utilizing an avatar (though not the focus here, certainly the role of artifact construction and use will play a role in our study of identity creation at a later date). Additionally, still images complemented the video data.

Step 2. Transcription: Raw video data was transcribed.

Step 3. Chunking raw data: Using situated discourse analysis, we segmented this transcription into utterances as determined by turn taking. Thus, the words an individual verbalized continuously without interruption or change of speaker would be considered an utterance.

Step 4. Finding patterns and meanings among utterances: We then adapted techniques from grounded theory and looked at the utterances for codes, or patterns, occurring between the utterances. Grounded theory techniques are useful here because they reveal qualitative patterns within data without a-priori hypothesizing about outcomes. Grounded theory analysis consists of four steps as described below:

1. Identifying codes: that act as anchors to all key data points to be gathered;
2. Grouping the codes into concepts;
3. Grouping concepts into similar family theories;
4. Theory development based on previous steps, that is, a collection of explanations that describe the subject of the research. For purposes of our analysis here, we focused on steps 1 and 2. Using two coders, through discussion and two iterations of coding we achieved 100% inter-rater reliability.

Step 5. After identifying the codes and concepts we used microgenetic analysis, drawing on cognitive linguistics as a tool, to analyze the utterances deemed meaningful.

For our coding scheme, we found indices of students’ views of their own, and others’, avatars in relation to real world users. First, we noted every reference to avatars (normative or idiomatic vocabulary notwithstanding) and highlighted them in the transcript. We then noted if the utterance indicated a blend of the user’s real self and the avatar as a virtual self (e.g., when referring to an avatar “look at my hair” and the contrasting “look at my dude’s hair”) are each indicative of different types of blends – the first an integrated projected identity and the latter an external character).

We anticipated finding blending phenomena such as the above, yet we did not presuppose this in our coding scheme. The analysis of utterances to reveal students stances toward their avatars occurred by grouping like utterances and characterizing as input spaces to the blend. Given this, we assessed if the utterance referred to a conceptual blend and identified prospective input spaces, generic space, and frames from which the input spaces seem to have been drawn from. Though a subjective process, each such attribution was inferred directly from data, i.e., we only speculated based on student utterances-in-context, not from our own opinions about students’ unarticulated thoughts.

Figure 3. The generic set of avatars that participants could choose among and later modify.
In especially illustrative examples, we took particular care to notice vital relations (cross space mappings re-creating analogues structures in the input space), compression (reduction of abstract concepts to human scale in the blended space), and types of conceptual integration networks in order to assist in explicating the phenomenon at hand. For example, the "identity" vital relation indicates that the student and the avatar are integrated in the blend space. Cases where a category of person (e.g., "black" or "Mexican") is rendered human scale through a particular avatar appearance comprise a type of compression of category to a paragon (a metonymic CSe). Finally, cases where both the real and virtual selves are being integrated in the blend seem to be drawn from the same frame (frames are broad, commonly understood knowledge of a particular domain, e.g., the notion of a community) comprise "single-scope" blends, whereas cases where they seem to be drawn from quite different frames (e.g., the real self is drawn from the community frame and the virtual self is drawn from the computational tool frame) comprise "double-scope" blends.

The advantage of utilizing conceptual blending theory to perform this analysis is that it provides a careful terminology and structure to account for the ways that specific elements of the real and virtual selves are integrated in a projected self. It also provides a uniform means to account for cases where the "projected self" consists of an avatar used as a tool to accomplish tasks rather than as self-representation. Regardless of the status of conceptual blends as cognitive phenomenon directly resulting from particular neural structures (an open and controversial question), the relative precision of the conceptual blending construct for characterizing different types of integration and the elements thereof has proven useful. Specific insights gained using this framework are discussed in the next section, most importantly, including a three-axis model of stances that students take toward their avatars.

4. RESULTS

Through analysis as described above, we found there to be three distinct dimensions of students' stances toward the construction and use of avatar-based identities. The three dimensions are named below (and depicted in Figure 5 below):

1. Everyday vs. Extraordinary graphically appearance: Avatar appearance can range from the everyday to the extraordinary or fantastic. Students tend toward preferring one or the other extreme, though a preference for anthropomorphic avatars was observed (likely due to the platform). This dimension is context-sensitive since one student's perception of what everyday appears to be can be quite different from another students. In fact, "engineer," was more extraordinary to some of the students than "gangster."  

2. Mirror (1st Person) vs. Character (3rd Person) ontological status: Student perception of avatars ranged from virtual representations of their real selves to perceiving them as characters external to themselves operating, or to be operated, within the virtual world.  

3. Instrumental/Playful use: Students' uses of avatars ranged from their instrumental deployment as tools to accomplish tasks and proxies for them to act in the virtual environment to accomplish tasks construed as computational to deployment game like personas as a means for engaging in imaginative identity play.

Figure 5. Three dimensions related to user perception and use of virtual identity.

Recall from the Methods Section that the project goals were framed for students as open-ended. Following a Freirian critical pedagogy perspective in which students' own generative themes were elicited, students were shown various avatars and activities and invited to propose their own ideas to investigate within the virtual world. Thus, while we are aware that the project's framing including the researchers' and educator's own ways of referring to avatars, the activities completed, peer register, and the project's goals of enabling student to see themselves as learners and doers of STEM material, could have primed the students' stances toward their avatars to fall at particular locations along the above axes, we believe any possible priming was minimized. While one of the main goals of the initial study was to help foster within students a sense of themselves as STEM learners and practitioners, we did not explicate this to students, thus this motivation did not impact students' view of their virtual identities.

Below, we interrogate three students' views of avatars as a means of exploring the three dimensions and to ground later discussion of the schism that exists between computational identity platform affordances and students' desires for avatar construction. The first two provide less detailed accounts, but are used to describe the means by which we discovered the three dimensions of stances that students take toward avatars. The cases are also used to characterize particular stances encountered in multiple students' based on the combination of their positions along each of these axes. The upshot is that a subset of students that we call Mirror Players seemed to see avatars as primarily performative, avatars for them are reflections of the students real selves used for identity play and intended to be faithful to the students' real world categories. Unfortunately, these students seemed the most likely to eject themselves from the study because of dissatisfaction with the affordances of Ts, to enable the type of customization that they desired. Alternatively, such students put in extra effort in order to realize avatars that they only construed as "adequate" (e.g., Figure 6, image on right side, below).

For a second set of students that we call Character Users, the avatars are characters that exist only as proxies to instrumentally accomplish objectives in the virtual world. For these students, avatar appearance was incidental and fit everyday categories only because of less deviation from default avatars, though at times some of these avatars were also quite extraordinary due to students' limited masteries of avatar customization. For example, the bright pink skin toned, blue haired avatar in Figure 4 above. The third case study is more in-depth and is used to help explicate the difficulty of Mirror Player in constructing robust self-representations for identity play.

4.1 Case Studies

4.1.1 Case One: Mirror Player DS

DS is an example of a student who: (1) wanted his avatar to have an everyday appearance and (2) tended toward preferring his avatar to mirror his real self. At the end of class discussion on the first day of the project DS proclaimed that the project was "stupid." It was only when his classmates revealed that DS's avatar had "female features" with "long eyelashes" that DS acknowledged his real source of displeasure arose from his inability to construct his avatar as he would have liked. Excerpts below are transcription of portions of this conversation, exemplifying DS as a mirror player. The numbers in the analysis indicate which of the three axes the statement is pertinent to.

Below in Figures 6a and 6b, we see on the left the avatar that he constructed by the end of the first day when the discussion took place. On the right we see his avatar after he was able to get it to an acceptable, but not ideal look [although this view allows us to only see his character from the back, note the broad shouldered physique, bald head, and attempt at what the student described as flames flaring from the torso]. The identity play resulted in a largely everyday avatar, but with some fantastic features suiting DS's masculine ideal.
[PI asks students for their initial reactions]

DS: It’s stupid.

[Other students chime in explaining they had fun, made outfits, etc., then the PI inquires why DS thought it was stupid]

DS: It’s stupid.

Res_KB: Yeah, I think we were really struggling to try to get the hair looking like a mortal.

(3) In this entire excerpt all discussion revolves around identity play, it is never instrumental.

DS: It’s stupid.

Res_KB: Yeah, I think we were really struggling to try to get the hair looking like a mortal.

PI: Ok, tell me more.

KJ: His dude was, had female features.

(1) KJ and DS’s descriptions collude to communally define norms for appropriate everyday categories, or ideals.

(2) The avatar is referred to as "his dude," seemingly indicating an external character.

DS: Yeah!

(2) Yet, DS seems to be emotionally invested in the issue, perhaps suggesting a mirror stance.

SK: Is that why you mad? [Several students laugh]

(2) If DS is indeed angry, this suggests a mirror stance due to the female features being viewed as integrated with his real self.

SK: [inaudible] A little feminine? There’s nothing wrong with bein’ feminine [still chuckling].

(2) SK, a female student, refers to the state of being female. Speculatively, her mocking tone and use of the term “being” suggests that feminine qualities of the avatar input space is being integrated/identified with user’s real self input space, indicating a mirror stance.

KJ: It had eyelashes, like long.

(2) KJ utilizes a character stance, perhaps distancing DS’s real self from the avatar.

OJ: You can’t change it or nothin’?

(3) OJ reinforces the idea of avatar customization as identity play by inquiring about the ways in which DS can alter his avatar.

KJ: Naw, blood. We were tryin’ to put some hair and their hair was, you know how old like, [pause] old white women wear their hair? Like a little…

Unknown voice: coif?

KJ: Coif.

OJ: Some of them have dreads. [inaudible]

(1) KJ’s unfamiliarity with the hairstyle suggests that it is extraordinary to their experience, though they were trying to achieve an everyday hairstyle.

Principal_Vincente: Why else was it frustrating?

DS: Cause we could be doing something else man and we got to do this.

4.1.2 Case Two: Character User SQ

SQ is an example of a student who (1) exhibited a moderate preference for his avatar to fall within an everyday category, (2) exhibited a strong perception of the avatar as unrelated to his real self, and (3) viewed his avatar as a tool to accomplish his goal of building a skyscraper in the virtual world.

In an interview conducted with SQ, he was asked why some people care about their avatar appearance and others do not. Below is a transcription of portions of that interview that exemplify SQ’s categorization as a Character User.

4.1.3 Case Three: Character Player CGT

CGT is an example of a student who (1) exhibited a slight preference for her avatar to fall within an everyday category, but also made an extraordinary avatar (a purple skin-toned human superhero), (2) viewed her character as representing her real self and thus puts in many hours of care into crafting her avatar characters, and (3) viewed her character as a means for identity play. She did, however, also engage in instrumental uses. For example, she wanted to plant a garden and led a beautification project on the island and used her avatar as a means to explore potential for constructive play with flowers, plants, and trees.

Figure 6. DS’s avatar representation at end of first day of project (left) and at the midpoint (right). He ejected himself from the study at this midpoint, therefore there is no avatar of himself at the end of the study.

Figures 7a, 7b, and 7c. SQ’s initial avatar, his skyscraper, and his avatar at the end of the project (left, middle, right respectively).
Analysis

1. PI: [first 30 minutes of this interview were spent talking about SQ’s family and school history followed by an inquiry into his experience with the project]... So do you care what your avatar looks like?

2. SQ: No I don’t really care, I don’t really care. (3) This is a clear and direct message that SQ has an instrumental view of avatar identity, or at least is not invested in identity play.

3. PI: Why?

4. SQ: Cause it’s just like an animated dude. AHH man that’s what we got to pick out of but I was like man I ain’t going to be tripping. So I picked the dude and... (2) SQ clearly does not see the avatar as a direct reflection of himself, rather he views it as a character separate from himself. (3) Merely “picking” the character indicates a minimal degree of constructive play.

5. PI: you didn’t want to be a woman?

6. SQ: huh?

7. PI: You didn’t want to pick a woman?

8. SQ: I was going to put then I was like I’m not going to pick a woman. No I ain’t feelin her. Yeah I saw the dude and I was like yeah, Manly stuff. (1) Jokingly, SQ admits he wanted his character to be “manly,” thus more closely aligned with his male identity. (3) SQ is engaged in identity play to the degree that he does not want an avatar completely divorced from his real masculine identity preference.

9. PI: Some people care a lot about what their character looks like.

10. SQ: Yeah, Yeah.

11. PI: So why do you think some people care so much and some people are like whatever like it’s just some “animated dude”?

12. SQ: I just wanna, I wanna build something nice. I wanna build something I really don’t care what he look like. You should look at my avatar, he wearing a frock. Cause I was tryin’ to dress him, I was tryin’ to dress him and I messed up on him and then I just left him like that. He’s wearing a dress. And I was working with him you know? (2) SQ affirms his external view of his avatar. (3) SQ affirms his instrumental view of his avatar. He clearly states he does not care what his avatar looks like and that he only wants to use it to accomplish construction tasks.

Table 2. A transcript excerpt pertinent to SQ.
4.1.4 Case Four: Mirror Player Detail, The Meaning of Being Solid

After helping his classmate discuss their experience with the project, the character to be "solid." The analysis of a comment indicates an overly feminine avatar, styles, and other attributes that would allow it to be considered as a paragon – its tight suit with a high waist double-breasted jacket and striped yellow necktie or hand-on-hip posture skin-toned avatar in Figures 8a, 8b, and 8c may be far from k/s urban notion of "solid:"

Secondly, he means that users should be able to perform as this paragon of "solidity." Essentialist perspectives (those based on "the belief that an object has a certain quality by virtue of which it is what it is") would consider someone to be of a certain race because he or she possesses certain physical characteristics, or to be of a certain gender because of possessing certain innate qualities of behavior. There are two major challenges to essentialism, however. The first recognizes the limitations of "understanding" of the subject that characterizes a single axis of identity as discrete and taking priority in representing the self – as if being Asian-American, for example, were entirely separable from being a woman.

The second recognizes the problem that some members of a group may end up to "impose their vision of the group's identity onto all its members." In contrast, that identities are social constructs, can be performed, are created by social institutions, etc., we can see that k/s requires the system to allow for construction of social identities independent of objective qualities.

There are two major aspects of how this "solidity" could be enabled. First, the system would need to provide a set of affordances that could construct an avatar that fits within the appropriate category that comprises an ideal. Recalling the discussion of Lakoff's metonymic categories above, we could say that ideal category is highly situated within his own context. Hence, the Second Life's updated dark skin-toned male default avatar (as in Rez_KB suggestion) was insufficient as a paragon – its tight suit with a high waist double-breasted jacket and striped yellow necktie or hand-on-hip posture skin-toned avatar in Figures 9a and 9b may be far from k/s urban notion of "solid:"

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORK

Through our analysis we discovered three dimensions to students view of their virtual identities, and characterized two observed stances that students took relative to their avatars. These axes and stances can help us understand how virtual identities impact their real world development of STEM identities. Since this study, makers of Second Life have updated their generic avatar set to a new set of options such as the two examples seen in Figure 9.

In viewing these new generic avatars, we can expand our questions of virtual identity construction to include performativity, facial gestures, body movement and language, posture, etc. This form of oppositional agency has gradually been adopted by some users/artists/ hackers of digital worlds. In 1999, Sonya Roberts released her book, Female Skin Pack Excerpts, a series of female texture maps for the original Qukale avatars, because the game designers neglected to provide a female protagonist. The eerie composition of a female skin on a muscular male figure embodied a form of resistance to power. 3D graphical models are harder to change without robust tools for doing so that often end up constraint the set of possible results (e.g., the contrasting inverse-relationships between the ease of use and relative degree of customization in Sims 3 and Second Life). Scripting character behaviors is more challenging for novices still requiring both animation and even perhaps artificial intelligence (AI) programming skills.

All of this suggests that performing a "solid" way of being is not a simple technical problem, it is a problem that requires understanding the cognitive and social issues at hand, and assessing them in light of what can be implemented computationally (whether by users or system implementers). To truly enable k/s to take the stance he desires regarding his projected self, a balance must be struck between his highly situated desires, the project goals, and the possibilities given the limitations of Second Life. Furthermore, if these aspects cannot be reconciled, it may be the case that new theory and technology is necessary.

For example, k/s requires a performative constructivist models that emphasizes enactment of social identities in the virtual world, e.g. allowing him to "perform" masculinity, and engage in the ongoing act of performing, e.g. "walking solidly." There are many ways that this can occur. Some aspects should be built into the infrastructure, whereas users must enact others. At times the infrastructure should have preconstructed affordances (e.g., emotes), while at other times the facilitation must have users to build the appropriate appearance or behavior.

It is instructive at this point to revisit the shared computational underpinnings of computational identity mentioned in the theoretical framework above. Altering a graphical skin is relatively easy. Indeed, there is already a tradition of using infrastructure allowing this to perform identity. In it was noted that many feminist theorists agree that, in order to demonstrate agency, a person must resist the hegemonic patriarchal status quo. This form of oppositional agency has been generally adopted by some users/artists/hackers of digital worlds. In 1999, Sonya Roberts released her book, Female Skin Pack Excerpts, a series of female texture maps for the original Qukale avatars, because the game designers neglected to provide a female protagonist. The eerie composition of a female skin on a muscular male figure embodied a form of resistance to power. 3D graphical models are harder to change without robust tools for doing so that often end up constraint the set of possible results (e.g., the contrasting inverse-relationships between the ease of use and relative degree of customization in Sims 3 and Second Life). Scripting character behaviors is more challenging for novices still requiring both animation and even perhaps artificial intelligence (AI) programming skills.
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